

Caseloads of the Courts of Washington

History of Activity, All Reviews, Division II (10) - 2010 to 2014

Page 1 of 2

	2010	2011	% Chg (9)	2012	% Chg (9)	2013	% Chg (9)	2014	% Chg (9)
FILINGS									
Total Filings	1,441	1,301	-9.7 %	1,413	8.6 %	1,391	-1.6 %	1,268	-8.8 %
RESOLUTIONS (1) (11)									
Opinions Published	130	140	7.7 %	132	-5.7 %	130	-1.5 %	124	-4.6 %
Opinions Unpublished	424	355	-16.3 %	388	9.3 %	373	-3.9 %	339	-9.1 %
Opinions	554	495	-10.6 %	520	5.1 %	503	-3.3 %	463	-8 %
Dismissed	242	203	-16.1 %	299	47.3 %	235	-21.4 %	225	-4.3 %
Transferred/Certified (2)	34	76	123.5 %	32	-57.9 %	51	59.4 %	125	145.1 %
Review Not Accepted	1	2	. %	0	. %	0	. %	2	. %
Other Terminations	36	28	. %	41	. %	25	. %	33	. %
Orders	313	309	-1.3 %	372	20.4 %	311	-16.4 %	385	23.8 %
Decided on Merits	81	69	-14.8 %	59	-14.5 %	130	120.3 %	131	0.8 %
Dismissed	236	217	-8.1 %	258	18.9 %	224	-13.2 %	252	12.5 %
Transferred/Certified (2)	0	0	. %	1	. %	0	. %	2	. %
Review Not Accepted	61	84	37.7 %	65	-22.6 %	74	13.8 %	83	12.2 %
Other Terminations	13	6	. %	7	. %	7	. %	8	. %
Rulings	391	376	-3.8 %	390	3.7 %	435	11.5 %	476	9.4 %
Other Decisions Term. Review (3)	0	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %
Total Orders/Rulings/Other (4)	704	685	-2.7 %	762	11.2 %	746	-2.1 %	861	15.4 %
Total Resolved	1,258	1,180	-6.2 %	1,282	8.6 %	1,249	-2.6 %	1,324	6 %

1 As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions and mandated are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

2 Includes both matters transferred to other divisions and those certified to the Supreme Court.

3 Includes decisions entered prior to more-specific coding (orders/rulings) adopted during 2005.

4 For years prior to 2005, it is not possible to distinguish the different types of resolution. Figures are broadly aggregated and shown as the sum of these resolution types.

5 As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System (ACORDS-II), measurement of the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.

6 Ruling Referred to Panel status was reintroduced to ACORDS in February 2004. As a result, the trend figures are not comparable.

7 Set for Oral Argument counts are influenced by procedural differences among divisions in setting cases. Division III, due to hearings in multiple locations, often delays the setting of cases until there are sufficient numbers to warrant travel, but cases are usually set only six weeks out.

8 Includes completions for secondary cases, which are those consolidated with a primary case and disposed by mandate/certificate of finality entered in the primary case.

9 Percentages are not reported when numbers are small (less than 30).

10 To alleviate case congestion, Division II transferred 50 cases in 2009 and 45 cases in 2010 (which would otherwise have been heard in Division II) to Division I for preparation of opinions and mandates. A transferred resolution appears for each of these cases in Division II figures. Each transferred case is included in filing counts in both divisions. The opinions for those transferred cases are included in Division I figures, only.

11 As of 2010, Resolution counts have been updated to report only primary case resolutions. Secondary case resolutions have been excluded from all of the resolution counts reported.

Caseloads of the Courts of Washington

History of Activity, All Reviews, Division II (10) - 2010 to 2014

Page 2 of 2

	2010	2011	% Chg (9)	2012	% Chg (9)	2013	% Chg (9)	2014	% Chg (9)
PENDING AT YEAR END (5)									
Cases Stayed	39	58	48.7 %	20	. %	19	. %	48	. %
Brief Not Filed	593	550	-7.3 %	580	5.5 %	609	5 %	614	0.8 %
Appellant Brief Filed	130	149	14.6 %	134	-10.1 %	126	-6 %	6	. %
Respondent Brief Filed	369	311	-15.7 %	425	36.7 %	410	-3.5 %	297	-27.6 %
Remanded to Trial Court	2	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %	5	. %
Set for Commissioners' Calendar	32	8	. %	22	. %	27	. %	61	. %
Ruling Referred to Panel (6)	18	17	. %	16	. %	10	. %	1	. %
Set for Oral Argument (7)	53	95	79.2 %	93	-2.1 %	116	24.7 %	111	-4.3 %
Total Awaiting Hearing	1,236	1,188	-3.9 %	1,290	8.6 %	1,317	2.1 %	1,143	-13.2 %
Opinion/Order in Process	115	149	29.6 %	113	-24.2 %	86	-23.9 %	109	26.7 %
Total Pending Decision	1,351	1,337	-1 %	1,403	4.9 %	1,403	0 %	1,252	-10.8 %
MANDATED (1)									
Opinion Terminating Review	641	571	-10.9 %	528	-7.5 %	559	5.9 %	558	-0.2 %
Order Terminating Review	328	299	-8.8 %	337	12.7 %	315	-6.5 %	385	22.2 %
Ruling Terminating Review	431	419	-2.8 %	396	-5.5 %	469	18.4 %	524	11.7 %
Other Decision Terminating Review (8)	7	5	. %	1	. %	2	. %	9	. %
Total Orders/Rulings/Other (4)	766	723	-5.6 %	734	1.5 %	786	7.1 %	918	16.8 %
Total Mandated	1,407	1,294	-8 %	1,262	-2.5 %	1,345	6.6 %	1,476	9.7 %

1 As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions and mandated are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

2 Includes both matters transferred to other divisions and those certified to the Supreme Court.

3 Includes decisions entered prior to more-specific coding (orders/rulings) adopted during 2005.

4 For years prior to 2005, it is not possible to distinguish the different types of resolution. Figures are broadly aggregated and shown as the sum of these resolution types.

5 As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.

6 Ruling Referred to Panel status was reintroduced to ACORDS in February 2004. As a result, the trend figures are not comparable.

7 Set for Oral Argument counts are influenced by procedural differences among divisions in setting cases. Division III, due to hearings in multiple locations, often delays the setting of cases until there are sufficient numbers to warrant travel, but cases are usually set only six weeks out.

8 Includes completions for secondary cases, which are those consolidated with a primary case and disposed by mandate/certificate of finality entered in the primary case.

9 Percentages are not reported when numbers are small (less than 30).

10 To alleviate case congestion, Division II transferred 50 cases in 2009 and 45 cases in 2010 (which would otherwise have been heard in Division II) to Division I for preparation of opinions and mandates. A transferred resolution appears for each of these cases in Division II figures. Each transferred case is included in filing counts in both divisions. The opinions for those transferred cases are included in Division I figures, only.

11 As of 2010, Resolution counts have been updated to report only primary case resolutions. Secondary case resolutions have been excluded from all of the resolution counts reported.